This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright Final Report





Targeted Surveys for Growling Grass Frog *Litoria rainformis*, 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria

Prepared for

Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd

March 2023



Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd

MELBOURNE: 292 Mt Alexander Road Ascot Vale VIC 3032 GEELONG: 230 Latrobe Terrace, Geelong West VIC 3218 BRISBANE: Level 22, 127 Creek Street Brisbane QLD 4000 ADELAIDE: 78 Edmund Avenue, Unley SA 5061 CANBERRA: 19-23 Moore Street, Turner ACT 2612 SYDNEY: Level 5, 616 Harris Street, Ultimo NSW 2007 www.ehpartners.com.au | 1300 839 325



DOCUMENT CONTROL

Assessment	Targeted Surveys for Growling Grass Frog								
Address	510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria								
Project number	16414								
Project Manager	Callum Luke (Senior Zoologist)								
Report Author	Matthew Boyd (Zoologist); Emma Hinde (Field Ecologist)								
Report Reviewer	Callum Luke (Senior Zoologist)								
Other EHP staff	Claire Mackay (Field Ecologist), Richard Moore (Field Ecologist), Elliot Day (Botanist), Braden Callaway (Botanist), Samantha Murray (Field Ecologist)								
Mapping	Monique Elsley (GIS Coordinator)								
File name	16414_EHP_510SummerhillRd_Wollert_GGF_Final_22032023								
Client	Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd								
Bioregion	Victorian Plain								
СМА	Yarra Valley Water								
Council	City of Whittlesea for the sole purpose of enabling								
	its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the								
Report versions	The document must not be used for any b purpose which may breach any								
Revision 0 Final	Report finalised copyright C. 22/03/2023								

ADVERTISED PLAN

Ecology and Heritage Partners acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the country we live and work on, and we pay our respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

Copyright © Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd

This document is subject to copyright and may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned. The use or copying of this document in whole or part without the permission of Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd is an infringement of copyright.

Disclaimer

Although Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd have taken all the necessary steps to ensure that an accurate document has been prepared, the company accepts no liability for any damages or loss incurred as a result of reliance placed upon the report and its contents.





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd was engaged by Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd (Cleanaway) to undertake targeted Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* surveys at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria (the 'proposal area'). The surveys were required to determine the presence or absence of Growling Grass Frog and address any implications for the construction of the proposed waste-to-energy (WtE) facility known as the Melbourne Energy and Resource Centre (MERC) under Commonwealth and State environmental legislation.

Methods

Numerous online-resources and databases including DELWP Naturekit Map (DELWP 2022a) and the Victorian Biodiversity Atlas (VBA) (DELWP 2022b) were consulted to provide an assessment of flora and fauna values associated with the proposal area. An inspection was undertaken on 8 November 2022 by a qualified zoologist to identify the extent and suitability of potential habitat for Growling Grass Frog within the proposal area. Three nights of nocturnal Growling Grass Frog surveys were then undertaken and adjacent, including the Merri Creek (referred to as the studyet of an able habitat assessment occurred in conjunction with approved methodology identified within planning approcess digulde lines for detecting Growling Grass Frog, with surveys focusing on suitab e habitation for within the proposal area (DEWHA 2009). The document must not be used for any

Limitations

purpose which may breach any copyright

It is important to acknowledge that the number of documented records for the Growling Grass Frog within and around the proposal area is not necessarily a reflection of population size or density and does not offer information on how a species is making use of an area.

The Growling Grass Frog calling season typically occurs between October – December and the species is known to be active until late March. This behaviour may be impacted by above average rainfall and La Nina weather patterns.

Results

At the time of the assessment there were approximately 306 records of Growling Grass Frog within 10 kilometres of the proposal area (DELWP 2022b), with the most recent record dating to 2021. Habitat surveys within the proposal area revealed waterbodies of a similar quality (moderate), with emergent, floating and fringing aquatic vegetation. The use of dams as water sources for livestock has negatively impacted water quality with highly turbid water and pugging in the riparian zone. Merri Creek contained habitat of a higher quality with emergent and fringing vegetation present. Recent flooding events has negatively impacted sections of the creek with widespread damage to much of the vegetation, and banks of the river. Water quality was considered habitable for all surveyed bodies of water, with other frog species observed in all waterbodies within the proposal area (Table 3).

Targeted surveys for Growling Grass Frog were conducted in October-November of 2022. Growling Grass Frog were confirmed calling at the reference sites on the 9th and 28th of November, however were not detected within the proposal area or neighbouring Merri Creek during the surveys.

Legislative and Policy Implications

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

No Growling Grass Frog were recorded within the proposal area or at Merri Creek, with a population of species unlikely to be present within the proposal area due to a history of disturbance onsite. The proposal area does not contain areas of existing high or medium quality habitat, or areas required for habitat creation or enhancement. Therefore, a referral to the Commonwealth Environment Minister under the EPBC Act is not required for the species.

Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988 (FFG Act - Victoria)

There were no Growling Grass Frog observed within the proposal area and no other threatened and/or protected species found under the FFG Act. Further, an FFG Act permit is not required for removal of species or communities on private land, or for the removal of habitat for a listed terrestrial fauna species.

Conclusion

Targeted surveys were conducted at all waterbodies and inundated areas at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria. Despite targeted surveys being undertaken in accordance with the appropriate methodology, during optimal conditions, with Growling Grass Frog heard calling at local reference sites, Growling Grass Frog were not detected within the proposal area.

The absence of species within the proposal area may be due to aspects such as reduced water quality, increased pollution, numerous dispersal barriers between waterbodies and debris from recent flooding. However, the Merri Creek site should still be considered moderate to high quality habitat for the species based on aspects such as permanent hydrology of the site, good cover of fringing vegetation and the presence of terrestrial refugia.

The proposal area could potentially be used for dispersal activities, however, targeted survey results indicate low likelihood of an extant population occurring within the proposal area.







CONTENTS

ΕX	ECUT	IVE SUMMARY	. 3				
1	1 INTRODUCTION						
	1.1 Background						
	1.1.1	1 Biodiversity Conservation Strategy	. 6				
	1.2	Scope and Objectives	. 7				
	1.3	Proposal Area	. 7				
-	1.4	Growling Grass Frog (Litoria raniformis)	. 8				
2	ME	THODS	. 9				
	2.1	Desktop Assessment	. 9				
	2.2	Habitat Assessment	. 9				
	2.3	Targeted Surveys	10				
	2.4	Assessment Qualifications and Limitations	11				
3	RES	ULTS	12				
	3.1	Desktop Assessment	12				
	3.2	Habitat Assessment	12				
	3.3	Targeted Surveys	15				
4	LEG	ISLATIVE AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	L7				
2	1.1	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth)	17				
	4.1.1	1 Implications	17				
4	1.2	Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988 (Victoria)	17				
5	5 CONCLUSION						
RE	REFERENCES						
FIC	SURE	5	21				



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd was engaged by Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd (Cleanaway) to undertake targeted surveys for Growling Grass Frog *Litoria rainformis* at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert (the 'proposal area') (Figure 1). The proposal area has recently been purchased and a waste-to-energy (WtE) facility known as the Melbourne Energy and Resource Centre (MERC) is proposed to be developed on site.

The purpose of this assessment was to undertake targeted surveys for Growling Grass Frog to determine the presence or absence of this species, and where possible to ascertain its distribution, abundance and the extent of the species habitat within the proposal area. Three surveys were undertaken between October and November of 2022 under suitable conditions.

Although the proposal area is located within the Melbourne Strategic Assessment (MSA) area, and any development is subject to approval conditions in accordance with the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) (DEPI 2013a), targeted surveys proposed development. were undertaken as part of a precautionary approach due to the nature of the **This copied document to be made available** for the sole purpose of enabling

its consideration and review as

1.1.1 Biodiversity ConservationtStrategying process under the

The BCS and associated sub-re offset consolidation strategies (Service White Water and Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act), including mechanisms for how these outcomes will be delivered. The BCS covers Melbourne's four growth corridors within the expanded 2010 Urban Growth Boundary, as well as 28 precincts under the 2005 Urban Growth Boundary, except where a planning scheme amendment to introduce a Precinct Structure Plan has been approved prior to 1 March 2012.

To facilitate the planning approvals process for Melbourne's growth areas, the Victorian Government has introduced the 'Time Stamping' project (DSE 2009). This project captures, and 'time stamps' native vegetation information within Melbourne's urban growth areas. This data can then be used to calculate native vegetation offsets for future development, and to prepare Native Vegetation Precinct Plans (NVPP) for these areas.

Classes of actions associated with urban development in most of the land in Melbourne's growth corridors have been approved under Section 146B of the EPBC Act by the Commonwealth Environment Minister (Minister). The approval was made in relation to the western, north-western and northern growth corridors on 5 September 2013.

The proposal area is located within the northern growth corridor. The Commonwealth approvals are subject to conditions, which included the former Habitat Compensation Obligations (HCO) and the restriction of urban development in identified conservation areas.



Targeted Surveys for Growling Grass Frog: 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

www.ehpartners.com.au

1.2 Scope and Objectives

The objectives of the targeted surveys were to:

- Confirm the presence/absence of Growling Grass Frog within the proposal area and adjacent to the proposal area
- If Growling Grass Frog is detected:
 - Determine any potential indirect impacts on Growling Grass Frog, and its habitat at a National and State level associated with the proposed development
 - Provide advice on mitigation measures that may be undertaken to avoid and/or mitigate potential adverse impacts on Growling Grass Frog
 - Provide information in relation to any implications of Commonwealth and State environmental legislation and Government policy associated with future proposed development of the proposal area.

1.3 Proposal Area



The proposal area is located at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, and is approximately 26 kilometres north of Melbourne's CBD (Figure 1). The proposal area covers approximately 82 hectares in area and is bound by Summerhill Road to the south, and is surrounded by undeveloped pastureland to the north, east and west.

According to the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) Native Vegetation Information Management Tool (DELWP 2022b), the proposal area occurs within the Victorian Volcanic Plain bioregion, within the jurisdiction of the Yarra Valley Water Catchment Management Authority (CMA) and the City of Whittlesea municipality.

The proposal area falls within the Farming Zone (FZ), Rural Conservation Zone (RCZ) and includes Environmental Significance Overlay – Schedule 4 (ESO4). The proposal area also falls within Reach 2 for Conservation Area 34 of the Growling Grass Frog Masterplan, with the Merri Creek identified as containing important population and habitat for species persistence (DELWP 2017d). The proposal area is within the Northern Quarries Precinct Structure Plan (PSP) area within the MSA area and is subject to the assessment process and Environment Mitigation Levy under the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Melbourne's Growth Corridors and Sub-regional Species Strategy for the Growling Grass Frog (DEPI 2013b).

The site has recently been acquired by Cleanaway and is currently being used for agriculture (cattle grazing) and is heavily modified, with a residence and associated sheds in the central portion of the property. The site is predominantly low lying with several low rising stony knolls present throughout. Three large dams are located within the site and have previously inundated areas of the paddocks during past weather events.

Two dams are located along the southern boundary, one in the west and one in the east (Figure 2a). Another sits just north of this residence (Figure 2a). Approximately 500m of Merri Creek was also included in the survey effort to detect Growling Grass Frog (Figure 2b). This stretch of Merri Creek is located approximately 1 kilometre west of 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert. Two reference sites for Growling Grass Frog, located in Donnybrook, were visited prior to surveying the proposal area.



Vegetation within the site consists predominantly of exotic pasture grasses including Perennial ryegrass *Lolium perenne*, Toowoomba Canary-grass *Phalaris aquatica*, Chilean Needle Grass *Nassella neesiana* and Yorkshire Fog *Holcus lanatas*. Scattered native species are present within the site, including Kangaroo Grass *Themeda triandra*, Blue Devil *Eryngium ovinum* and Rush *Juncus* spp, primarily within stony knolls and low-lying inundated areas within the site. Patches of insavies weeds such as Artichoke thistle *Cynara cardunculus* and Gorse *Ulex europaeus* are also present across the site.

1.4 Growling Grass Frog (Litoria raniformis)

EPBC Act Conservation Status: Vulnerable **FFG Act Conservation Status:** Vulnerable

Although formerly widely distributed across southern eastern Australia, including Tasmania (Hero *et al.* 1991), Growling Grass Frog (Plate 1) populations have declined markedly over the past two decades in many areas, particularly in south and central Victoria where some populations have experienced local extinction.

Growling Grass Frog are largely associated with permanent or semi-permanent still or slow flowing waterbodies (i.e. streams, lagoons, farm dams and



Plate 1. Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd)

old quarry sites) (Hero *et al.* 1991; Barker *et al.* 1995; Cogger 1996). The species can also utilise temporarily inundated waterbodies during breeding season, to facilitate reproduction (Organ 2003). The presence of key habitat attributes, primarily an extensive cover of emergent, submerged and floating vegetation (Robertson *et al.* 2002, Organ 2004, 2005), and the spatial orientation of waterbodies (Robertson *et al.* 2002; Heard *et al.* 2004; Hamer and Organ 2008) are strong determinants of the species' presence. Terrestrial vegetation such as grasses and sedges, rocks and other ground debris around wetland perimeters also provide important foraging, dispersal and overwintering sites. Dispersal is thought to occur primarily along drainage lines or other low-lying areas between waterbodies, and unhindered movement between and within waterbodies is considered important for population viability.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

ADVERTISED PLAN



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

www.ehpartners.com.au

2 METHODS

2.1 Desktop Assessment

The following information sources were reviewed prior to the targeted surveys for Growling Grass Frog, to provide an overview of the fauna values associated with the proposal area:

- The DELWP Native Vegetation Information Management (NVIM) Tool (DELWP 2022c) and NatureKit Map (DELWP 2022a) for:
 - Modelled data for location risk, native vegetation patches, scattered trees and habitat for rare or threatened species
 - The extent of historic and current Ecological Vegetation Classes (EVCs).
- The Victorian Biodiversity Atlas (VBA) for previously documented fauna records within the proposal locality (DELWP 2022b)
- Aerial photography of the proposal area.

ADVERTISED PLAN

2.2 Habitat Assessment

An assessment of the proposal area was undertaken by a qualified zoologist on 8 November 2022. The inspection sought to identify the extent and suitability of potential habitat for Growling Grass Frog present within the proposal area.

The following attributes of habitat quality for the Growling Grass Frog were recorded as part of the preliminary habitat assessment:

- The hydroperiod
- The location and extent of instream pools and off-stream waterbodies
- Habitat values of each waterbody including the type (dam, dam, wetland, creek, billabong, drain or ditch) flow (still, slow, rapid), depth and presence of terrestrial refuge sites (e.g. rocks, logs, debris)
- Aquatic vegetation cover (% cover of emergent, submergent and floating aquatic plants)
- Barriers to frog movement between waterbodies.

The hydroperiod (as defined in Heard et al., 2010) is the likelihood that an individual wetland will remain inundated over the course of a single breeding season, on an ordinal scale where:

- 0 = fills only in years with above average rainfall (intermittent)
- 1 = fills and dries out annually with average rainfall (ephemeral)
- 2 = dries out only during years of below average rainfall (semi-permanent)
- 3 = never dries out regardless of rainfall (permanent).

Habitat quality was defined with reference to the following criteria:

ADVERTISED ΡΙΔΝ

- High quality habitat: Areas that currently contain or have a high likelihood to contain important habitat attributes required by the species for breeding as well as foraging and dispersal (e.g. permanent or semi-permanent, extensive aquatic vegetation, high water quality, connected to other occupied sites, absence or low densities of predatory fish, high cover of terrestrial refuge sites).
- Moderate quality habitat: Habitat that supports one or more key habitat characteristics outlined . above, but not all (for example site may be important for dispersal or foraging but not breeding).
- Low quality habitat: Sites unlikely to be used by Growling Grass Frog for breeding and a low likelihood • for dispersal due to one or more of the following; absence or lack of aquatic vegetation, low water quality, presence of predatory fish, lack or low cover of terrestrial refuge sites.
- No suitable habitat / degraded: Areas consisting of open pasture have generally been cleared from previous land use activities and are highly modified areas dominated by exotic vegetation (i.e. open pasture) in poor condition and located some distance (e.g. over 200 metres) from wetland habitat.

2.3 Targeted Surveys

of the site was surveyed.

Nocturnal Growling Grass Frog surveys were undertaken across the proposal area along the edges of dams and inundated areas, as well as the forgere of the work of the state o assessment was undertaken in conjunction of the entirety of the entirety of the entirety assessment was undertaken in conjunction of the entirety assessment was undertaken in conjunction of the entirety of part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

for Growling Grass Frog activity (Table 1). The surveys were conducted with reference to the prescribed methodology detailed in the following guidelines:

- Significant Impact Guidelines for the Vulnerable Growling Grass Frog (Litoria raniformis) EPBC Act • Policy Statement 3.14 (DEWHA 2009d)
- Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Frogs (DEWHA 2010).

Based on the survey protocols adhered to for this assessment, this would achieve a probability detection threshold of 0.99 as per the probability thresholds specified by DELWP (Heard et al., 2010).

Each survey involved spotlighting surveys, call identification, and active searching for adults and metamorphs. More specifically:

- An initial period of five minutes was spent listening to any calling frogs (all species) in and adjacent to • habitats
- Following the initial period, the advertisement call was broadcast to elicit a response from any adult • males present
- Surveyors used "Olight" LED hand-held spotlights (up to 1020 lumens/8.4 volts) to locate any calling males on floating vegetation in the waterbody and around the perimeter of waterbodies
- Surveyors actively searched ground-level habitat including surface rocks, underneath hard litter, and at the base of vegetation for frogs



• Surveyors used the resulting information to determine the significance of any recorded Growling Grass Frog populations.

Prior to conducting each survey, nearby reference sites with confirmed Growling Grass Frog populations (both artificial dams) were visited to determine if weather conditions facilitated the species calling. In total, two local reference sites were visited prior to eachsurvey. One reference site is located approximately 300m north of Donnybrook Railway Station along Springs Road, Donnybrook (37°32'19.4"S 144°58'16.9"E). The other reference site is approximately 500m west of the first reference site bordering Nature Promenade, Donnybrook (37°32'17.1"S 144°57'56.8"E). Growling Grass Frog were heard calling at the reference sites on the 9 and 28 November 2022, confirming the suitability of survey conditions at the time the surveys were undertaken across the proposal area.

2.4 Assessment Qualifications and Limitations

It is considered that the survey effort, timing and results presented meet the objectives of the survey guidelines.

The survey guidelines (DEWHA 2009) recommend surveying on nights with a daytime air temperature greater than 15 °C and night time air temperature greater than 12 °C. The 2022/23 season was heavily impacted by atypical wet and cold conditions throughout the Growling Grass Frog survey season, which limited days available to conduct surveys in optimal conditions. As such two surveys were conducted on consecutive days (8 and 9 November), to take advantage of suitable weather conditions.

The targeted survey efforts were undertaken within the Growling Grass Frog calling season (October – December), however Growling Grass Frog are known to be active until late March. Despite it being within the calling period, Growling Grass Frog have been observed via active searches late into the season (late February and early March) which may be due to above average rainfall and La Nina weather patterns.

All fieldwork was carried out under the appropriate licences, including a Research Permit (10009538) and Scientific Procedures Fieldwork Licence (SPFL 20005) issued by DELWP under the Victorian *Wildlife Act 1975*, and an Animal Research permit issued by the Wildlife and Small Institutions Animal Ethics Committee (05.17).





3 RESULTS

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

www.ehpartners.com.au

3.1 Desktop Assessment

The VBA contains 306 records of Growling Grass Frog within 10 kilometres of the proposal area (DELWP 2022b), with the most recent record dated in 2021.

3.2 Habitat Assessment



Habitats favoured by Growling Grass Frog include permanent or largely permanent still waterbodies with extensive emergent and submergent vegetation (DEPI 2013a; Hero *et al.* 1991; Robertson *et al.*, 2002). The species is also associated with swamps, irrigated areas, farm dams, former quarry holes and off-stream habitats (DSE 2012). Suitable terrestrial habitat for post-breeding dispersal and overwintering refuge sites are also required and can include dense ground-level vegetation, rocks, logs and other ground debris (Robertson *et al.*, 2002). This species can also utilise temporarily inundated waterbodies for breeding purposes providing they contain water over the breeding season (Organ 2003).

The proposal area is highly modified, containing exotic pasture grass and weeds throughout the property and surrounding the periphery of the dams. Emergent, fringing and submergent vegetation was present in varying levels around the dams (Plate 2). The Merri Creek was found to be substantially littered with debris due to recent flooding events, with noxious weeds surrounding the perimeter of creek (Plate 3).

The waterbodies within the proposal area were similar in habitat quality. They exist as medium sized open dams of moderate quality, with emergent, floating or fringing aquatic vegetation (Plate 2, 3 and 4). The emergent and fringing vegetation consists predominantly of Spiny rush *Juncus acutus*, Spike sedge *Eleocharis palustris* and Duck weed *Lemnoideae*. These dams persist entirely as a water source for agricultural and livestock purposes, which has negatively impacted habitat quality for Growling Grass Frog (Table 1). There is evidence that the dams were previously fouled by cattle due to highly turbid water, presence of litter and fetid smell. Throughout the banks of the waterbodies in the proposal area, there is evidence of pugging in the riparian zone and within the waterbody itself due to the presence of cattle. Pugging occurs when the soil is very wet, and the penetration of animal hooves remoulds the soil surface into a series of holes and mounds (Plate 5). This process can destroy soil structure by removing large soil pores and can kill plants or push propagules further down the soil profile.

Merri Creek was surveyed during the inspections (Plate 6) and contained higher quality habitat for Growling Grass Frog (Table 1), with emergent vegetation present and fringing vegetation in parts. This location had stretches of both fast-flowing rapids and slower flowing parts with still inlets. However, recent flooding has littered the Creek with floating debris and along the banks. This has resulted in widespread damage to much of the emergent and floating vegetation which once persisted (Plate 7).

Salinity levels is a key parameter to determine habitat quality for Growling Grass Frog. Salinity levels below 7.0 mS/cm are required for a body of water to be deemed habitable to Growling Grass Frog (Christy and Dickman 2002, SWIFT). Water quality was considered habitable for in all bodies of water within the proposal area and



within Merri Creek with salinity level readings for dams 1, 2, 3 and Merri Creek were 0.176 (mS/cm), 0.204 (mS/cm), 0.190 (mS/cm), 0.095 (mS/cm) respectively (Table 3).

While these salinity readings are well within the optimal range to support a Growling Grass Frog population, other factors (e.g. quality and amount of floating vegetation) suggest there is a low likelihood that the waterbodies surveyed currently support a breeding population of Growling Grass Frog.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

ADVERTISED PLAN



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

www.ehpartners.com.au





Plate 2. Banks with some emergent vegetation (Juncus acutus) at dam 1 (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd 08/11/2022).



Plate 4. Emergent vegetation (Juncus acutus) at dam 3 (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd o8/11/2022).



Plate 6. Section of Merri Creek with emergent vegetation (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd o8/11/2022).



Plate 3. Banks with some emergent vegetation (Juncus acutus) at dam 2 (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd 08/11/2022).



Plate 5. Evidence of plugging from cattle movement around dam 1 (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd o8/11/2022).



Plate 7. Floating debris at Merri Creek survey location (Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd o8/11/2022).



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

www.ehpartners.com.au

Table 1. Percentage cover of aquatic Megerarow high may roe are

		Dam Number	Merri Creek		
Aquatic vegetation Type	1	2	3	North	South
Emergent (%)	5	5	5	40	5
Floating (%)	0	10	0	0	0
Open water (%)	95	90	95	30	90
Fringing aquatic vegetation (%)	10	5	15	20	5

Table 2. Habitat features at survey sites

Habitat Fastures		Dam Number	Merri Creek		
Habitat reatures	1	2	3	North	South
Water depth	High	High	High	High High	
Hydrology	Permanent	Permanent	Permanent	Flowing	Flowing
Water flow	Still	Still	Still	Slow	Slow-rapid
Litter	Present	Absent	Absent	Absent	Present
Introduced fauna species	Not observed				

Table 3. Water quality results for all waterbodies within proposal area and within Merri Creek

Water Ouelity Measurements		Morri Crook		
water Quality measurements	1	2	3	Merri Creek
Temperature (°C)	23.10	22.24	20.88	19.53
Acidity (pH)	6.84	6.11	7.61	8.82
Salinity (mS/cm)	0.176	0.204	0.190	0.095
Dissolved oxygen (ms/LDO)	6.26	4.80	7.61	17.01
Total dissolved solids (g/L)	0.114	0.132	0.124	0.049

3.3 Targeted Surveys

ADVERTISED PLAN

Targeted surveys for Growling Grass Frog were undertaken in accordance with survey guidelines with the weather conditions being conducive for frogs to be active. Despite surveys being undertaken during the active calling period for Growling Grass Frog, extra consideration was given to the survey effort, timing and methods. Active searches were employed to determine the presence/absence of the species.

Growling Grass Frog was not detected within the proposal area during the surveys. Several other common native frog species were heard calling within the proposal area during the targeted surveys, including Spotted Marsh Frog *Limnodynastes tasmaniensis*, Striped Marsh Frog *Limnodynastes peronii*, Southern Brown Tree Frog *Litoria ewingii*, Eastern Common Froglet *Crinia signifera* and Eastern Banjo Frog *Limnodynastes dumerilii* (Table 3).



Table 3. Summary of Growling Grass Frog survey results.

Survey Date	Survey Temp (ºC)	Wind direction	Wind speed (km/hr)	Relative Humidity (%)	Cloud Cover (%)	Rain	Species
08/11/2022	16.7	NE	9.3	71	5	0	Spotted Marsh Frog; Eastern Banjo Frog; Striped Marsh Frog; Eastern Common Froglet; Southern Brown Tree Frog.
09/11/2022	19	N	20.4	65	5	0	Spotted Marsh Frog; Eastern Banjo Frog; Striped Marsh Frog; Eastern Common Froglet.
28/11/2022	15.5	E	9.2	72	0	0	Spotted Marsh Frog; Striped Marsh Frog; Eastern Common Froglet; Eastern Banjo Frog

ADVERTISED PLAN



LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS 4

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 4.1 (Commonwealth)

The EPBC Act establishes a Commonwealth process for the assessment of proposed actions (i.e. project, development, undertaking, activity, or series of activities) that are likely to have a significant impact on matters of national environmental significance (MNES), or on Commonwealth land. An action, unless otherwise exempt, requires approval from the Commonwealth Environment Minister if it is considered likely to have an impact on any MNES.

4.1.1 Implications

Under condition 2 of the Commonwealth Government's approvals for urban development in Melbourne's Growth Corridors, actions resulting in a net loss of 'habitat' for MNES (i.e. Growling Grass Frog) require the agreement of the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment. Targeted surveys were completed for Growling Grass Frog, however, despite systematic survey efforts no individuals were recorded, and no other significant fauna were detected. The proposal area does not contain areas of existing high or medium quality habitat, or areas required for habitat creation or enhancement. Therefore, a referral to the Commonwealth Environment Minister under the EPBC Act is not required for the species.

Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988 (Victoria) 4.2

The FFG Act is the primary legislation dealing with biodiversity conservation and sustainable use of native flora and fauna in Victoria. Proponents are required to apply for an FFG Act Permit to 'take' listed and/or protected flora species, listed vegetation communities and listed fish species in areas of public land (i.e. within road reserves, drainage lines and public reserves). An FFG Act permit is generally not required for removal of species or communities on private land, or for the removal of habitat for a listed terrestrial fauna species.

Although the proposal area is privately owned and therefore a permit to remove protected flora species, listed vegetation communities and listed fish species is not required, the Responsible Authority and/or the Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action (DEECA) take into consideration the presence of FFG Act matters (e.g. species, ecological communities and threatening processes) as part of the strategic and statutory assessment and approval process (e.g. rezoning and planning permit application). Despite targeted surveys being undertaken during an appropriate survey period and conditions, Growling Grass Frog was not recorded within the proposal area. Consequently, there are no implications under the FFG Act relating to these species for any future development of the proposal area.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. Targeted Surveys for Growling Grass Frog: 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert, Victoria purpose which may breach any copyright



5 CONCLUSION

Targeted surveys were conducted at all waterbodies and inundated areas at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert. A total of three dams were surveyed, and most of the proposal area was traversed on foot to account for any areas of inundation. Additional survey effort was undertaken at a nearby stretch of Merri Creek which resided west of the proposal area.

Targeted Growling Grass Frog surveys were undertaken on 8, 9 and 28 November 2022 at 510 Summerhill Road, Wollert. Despite the targeted surveys being undertaken according to the appropriate methodology (see Section 2), during optimal conditions when Growling Grass Frog was heard calling at local reference sites, Growling Grass Frog was not recorded within the proposal area. The absence of the species at these dams may be due to several factors including;

- Reduced water quality associated with water fouling by cattle or livestock, or increased salinity
- Increased pollution and pesticide/herbicide use
- Barriers to movement between waterbodies, including access to Merri Creek
- Debris from recent flooding driven habitat destruction.

Whilst the targeted surveys did not record any Growling Grass Frog at the Merri Creek site, this area is still considered to provide moderate to high quality habitat for the species based on the following characteristics:

- The permanent hydrology of the sites
- The good cover of fringing vegetation
- The presence of terrestrial refuge sites (i.e. logs, rocks, debris, vegetation etc).

The dams within the proposal area did not display these characteristics and were characterised as having low to medium quality habitat.

The proposal area could potentially be used for dispersal activities; however, the results of these targeted surveys indicate there is a low likelihood that an extant population of Growling Grass Frog currently occurs within the proposal area.





REFERENCES

- Barker, J., Grigg, G.C. & Tyler, M.J. 1995. A Field Guide to Australian Frogs. Surrey Beatty & Sons. New South Wales.
- Cogger, H. G (Ed). 1996. Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia. 5th Edition. Reed Books Australia, Victoria.
- DELWP 2022a. NatureKit Map [www Document]. URL:. Victorian Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Melbourne, Victoria.
- DELWP 2022b. Victorian Biodiversity Atlas. Sourced from GIS layers: "VBA_FLORA100", "VBA_FAUNA25", "VBA_FAUNA100". Victorian Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Melbourne, Victoria.
- DELWP 2022c. Native Vegetation Information Management Tool [www Document]. URL: https://nvim.delwp.vic.gov.au/. Victorian Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Melbourne, Victoria.
- DELWP 2017d. Melbourne Strategic Assessment; Growling Grass Frog Masterplan for Melbourne's Growth Corridors. Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- DEPI 2013a. Biodiversity Conservation Strategy for Melbourne's Growth Corridors. Victorian Government Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Melbourne, August 2013.
- DEPI 2013b. Sub-Regional Species Strategy for the Growling Grass Frog. Victorian Government Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Melbourne, May 2013.
- DEWHA 2009. Significant Impact Guidelines for the Vulnerable Growling Grass Frog (Litoria raniformis) EPBC Act Policy Statement 3.14. Published document prepared by the Commonwealth Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- DEWHA 2010. Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Frogs. Published document prepared by the Commonwealth Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Michelle T. Christy and Christopher R. Dickman 2002. Effects of salinity on tadpoles of the green and golden bell frog (*Litoria aurea*), Amphibia-Reptilia 23: 1 11.
- Hamer, A.J and Organ, A. 2008. Aspects of the ecology and conservation of the Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* in an urban-fringe environment, southern Victoria. Proceedings of the Biology and Conservation of Bell Frogs Conference, Australian Zoologist 34: 414-425.
- Heard, G.W., Robertson, P. & Moysey E.D. 2004. Management Plan for the Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* within the 'Fairway Waters' development, Pakenham, Victoria. Unpublished report to Westmont Holdings Pty Ltd. & Simons Builders Pty Ltd. Wildlife Profiles Pty Ltd, Ecology Australia Pty Ltd.





- Heard, G.W., Scroggie, M.P., and Clemann, N. 2010. Guidelines for managing the endangered Growling Grass
 Frog in urbanising landscapes. Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research Technical Report Series
 No. 208. Department of Sustainability and Environment, Heidelberg, Victoria
- Hero J, Littlejohn M and Marantelli G. 1991. Frogwatch Field Guide to Victorian Frogs. Department of Conservation and Environment: Victoria.
- Organ, A. 2003. Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* monitoring over the 2002/03 breeding period, Western Treatment Plant, Werribee, Victoria. Biosis Research Pty. Ltd. unpublished report for Melbourne Water Corporation.
- Organ, A. 2004. Pakenham Bypass: Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis* 2003/04 survey, Pakenham and surrounds Victoria. Biosis Research Pty. Ltd. unpublished report for VicRoads.
- Organ, A. 2005. Pakenham Bypass: Conservation Management Plan for the Growling Grass Frog *Litoria raniformis*, Pakenham, Victoria. Biosis Research Pty. Ltd. unpublished report for VicRoads.
- Robertson, P., Heard, G. and Scroggie, M. 2002. The ecology and conservation status of the Growling Grass Frog (Litoria raniformis) within the Merri Creek corridor. Interim report: distribution, abundance and habitat requirements. Wildlife Profiles Pty Ltd/Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research for DNRE, Flora and Fauna Branch.
- State Wide Integrated Flora and Fauna Teams (SWIFT). Growling Grass Frog. [www Document]. URL: https://www.swifft.net.au/cb_pages/sp_growling_grass_frog.php.





www.ehpartners.com.au

FIGURES







ecology & heritage

Targeted Survey - 510 Minor Watercou Summerhill Road, Wollert Survey locations Call playback

Iocations Call playback part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright

Aerial source: Nearmap 2022

16414_Fig02a_GGF_Results_P_G20 24/02/2023 psorensen

Coordinate System: GDA2020 MGA Zone 55

s that the State of



Figure 2b Growling Grass Frog survey results Growling Grass Frog Targeted Survey - Merri Creek, Wollert

> ecology & heritage partners

Study Area

Minor White Coupied document to be made available Survey locations for the sole purpose of enabling Call playback its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any copyright



VicMap Data: The State of Victoria completeness of information in this publication and any person using or relying upon such information does so on the basis that the State of Victoria shall bear no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any errors, faults, fects or omissions in the inf

Aerial source: Nearmap 2022

