

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

Hydrogeological Site Investigation

Area "A"
280 Evans Road,
Cranbourne West VIC 3977



Prepared for:

Murphy Trust No. 1 C/- KLM Spatial

130479 Evans Road/3

28th November 2013



This report has been printed using 100% Australian Recycled Paper



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

COMMISSION

INTRODUCTION	5
GENERAL INFORMATION	6
2.1 Site Description	6
2.2 Proposed Development	6
2.3 Geology	6
2.4 Existing Borehole Search	6
2.5 Groundwater	7
SITE HISTORY AND CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN	8
3.1 Historical Information Review	8
3.2 Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPC)	8
HYDROGEOLOGICAL SITE INVESTIGATION WORKS	9
4.1 General	9
4.2 Regulatory Framework	10
4.3 Quality of Analytical Data	18
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	20
REFERENCES	22
REPORT LIMITATIONS	23
	GENERAL INFORMATION 2.1 Site Description 2.2 Proposed Development 2.3 Geology 2.4 Existing Borehole Search 2.5 Groundwater SITE HISTORY AND CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN 3.1 Historical Information Review 3.2 Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPC) HYDROGEOLOGICAL SITE INVESTIGATION WORKS 4.1 General 4.2 Regulatory Framework 4.3 Quality of Analytical Data DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

Monitoring Well Location and Borehole Log

APPENDIX B

Test Results Summary

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Test Results

APPENDIX D

Site Inspection Photographs

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any



Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 1 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Murphy Trust No. 1 commissioned LRP&A to undertake a Hydrogeological Site Investigation of the property located at 280 Evans Road, Cranbourne West, Victoria.

The scope of works included the following:

- Auger two deeper bores for the installation of groundwater monitoring wells.
- Collecting a minimum of four samples from the groundwater and preserve for Vic EPA testing for potential contaminants.
- Preparing a Hydrogeological Site Investigation report that states the findings of the investigation.

A site history search carried out by Geoaquitards Environmental report no. RM239-M, dated 27/08/13, did not reveal any previous environmental issues at the site.

Having carried out the hydrogeological investigation and associated laboratory analysis, the results were reviewed with respect to contaminant levels and beneficial uses of the groundwater. Please see Appendix B for a summary of the test results and comparison with the GIL's. A few metals and metalloids namely, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Nickel and Zinc, exceeded the Groundwater Investigation Levels (GIL's) for the beneficial use of the groundwater as fresh water or drinking water. Laboratory results were also assessed with reference to the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality with respect to the beneficial uses of irrigation and livestock drinking. No exceedance of the trigger values was noted. An environmental management plan (EMP) will be required if the groundwater is expected to be used for any beneficial uses. However, an EMP would not be required if the groundwater is not intended to be used.

The piezometric watertable at the time of the investigation was between 0.47m and 1.42m below current surface level. A perched watertable at surface level was also identified. It is expected that groundwater will come into contact with services, pavement and building foundations during the development of the land. The groundwater may have an impact during construction and service life of the development, and consideration needs to be given as to how to best manage any potential impacts resulting from the groundwater.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as

part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.
The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

convright

28th November 2013

Page 2 of 24

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Client: Murphy Trust No. 1



With reference to LRP&A report no. 130479 Evans Rd/2, the land encompassed within the site is considered suitable for the permitted Industrial and Commercial use. The land encompassed within the site can be relied upon by Murphy Trust No. 1 as being 'fit for purpose' based on the current conditions as described by the current investigation.

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 3 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



HYDROGEOLOGICAL SITE INVESTIGATION

Project

130479 Evans Road / 3

Prepared for the benefit of:

Murphy Trust No. 1 C/- KLM Spatial 18 Mason Street Dandenong VIC 3175

Prepared by

Peter Andrews LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd (LRP&A)

Date

28th November 2013



COMMISSION

To carry out a Hydrogeological Site Investigation of the property located at 280 Evans Road, Cranbourne West, Victoria, in accordance with Australian Standards AS1726¹ and EPA 699², as requested and authorised by our Client herewith, Murphy Trust No. 1.

This report outlines the findings and recommendations of this Hydrogeological Site Investigation.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 4 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013

¹ AS1726-1993 Geotechnical Site Investigation, Incorporating Amendments 1 and 2 1994.

² EPA 699-2000 *Groundwater Sampling Guidelines*. Environmental Protection Authority, State Government of Victoria





1. INTRODUCTION

LR Pardo & Associates carried out a Hydrogeological Site Investigation on 17th October 2013 and 24th October 2013 at the property located at 280 Evans Road, Cranbourne West. During this site investigation 2 boreholes were augered to a depth of between 5.5m and 6m. Specialised laboratory tests were carried out on 2 water samples from each borehole with the aim to investigate the presence or otherwise of any potential contaminants in the groundwater.

The scope of works, as outlined in our proposal 130479 Evans Road/2.1 dated 10th September 2013 included the following:

- Auger two deeper bores for the installation of groundwater monitoring wells.
- Collecting a minimum of four samples from the groundwater and preserve for Vic EPA testing for potential contaminants.
- Preparing a Hydrogeological Site Investigation report that states the findings of the investigation.

This investigation aimed at identifying the presence or otherwise of potential contaminants and their concern to future occupants. Previously the site has been used for farming purposes. The land's intended use is for industrial/commercial development.

Laboratory testing was performed on samples obtained from the site at a NATA accredited laboratory.

To date LRP&A has been provided with the following documents and drawings:

- KLM Spatial Site Plan, reference no. 5959 Zones, dated 06/03/13, received 07/03/13.
- Geoaquitards Environmental Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigation Report, report no. RM239-M, dated 13/07/13, received 29/07/13.
- KLM Spatial Plan of Survey, reference no. 5959DE1, dated 04/03/13, received 09/09/13.
- Geoaquitards Environmental Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigation Report, report no. RM239-M, dated 27/08/13, received 12/09/13.

• MGT laboratory testing reports for Short EPA screens, report no. 397518-W, dated 08/11/2013, received 08/11/2014 This copied document to be made available

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as

part of a planning process under the Page 5 of 24
Planning and Environment Act 1987
November 2013

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

purpose which may be

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Client: Murphy Trust No. 1



2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 Site Description

The important features of the site are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: General site information

Site Address	280, Evans Road, Cranbourne West VIC 3977
Site Area	24.5ha
Title Identification Details	Lot 4 PS 546430
Current Zoning	UGZ1 (Urban growth 1)
Local Government Area	City of Casey
Most Recent Site Use	Farming

2.2 Proposed Development

This investigation was conducted as part of the requirements to satisfy the City of Casey Planning Schemes as part of the submission of a planning permit application. It is anticipated that this parcel of land will become part of the Cranbourne West Precinct Structure Plan once developed. This possible development will most likely contain warehouses, roads and associated car parks.

2.3 Geology

Please refer to Geoaquitards Environmental report no. RM239-M, dated 27/08/13.

Augering uncovered the geology described in the Geoaquitards Environmental report, namely; Unnamed swamp and lake deposits, and Baxter Sandstone. Please see the borehole logs presented in Appendix A for further details.

2.4 Existing Borehole Search

Please refer to Geoaquitards Environmental report no. RM239-M, dated 27/08/13.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 6 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



2.5 Groundwater

This investigation identified the shallowest aquifer for the purpose of measuring groundwater depths and sampling for laboratory testing.

Observations and groundwater sampling regimes are detailed below in Table 2. This is a piezometric watertable meaning it is under pressure.

Table 2: Groundwater well observations and sampling regime

Groundwater well	Date	Measured depth	Samples taken
GW1	24/10/13	0.47m	GW1-S1, GW1-S2
GW2	24/10/13	1.42m	GW2-S1, GW2-S2

In addition to the watertable identified above, a perched watertable exists at surface level.

Investigation of water contained within deeper aquifers was outside the scope of our work. Water from these aquifers is unlikely to have an impact on the sites proposed use for industrial/commercial use.

The Department of Minerals and Energy Groundwater Resources Victoria map indicates that the site has an aquifer lithology of Basalt, tuff and Scoria with a potential bore yield of >10l/s and a groundwater salinity of >1000mg/l total dissolved solids (TDS).



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 7 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



3. SITE HISTORY AND CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

3.1 Historical Information Review

Please refer to Geoaquitards Environmental report no. RM239-M, dated 27/08/13.

3.2 Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPC)

Potential contaminants associated with previous site uses were considered to include:

- · Heavy Metals,
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH),
- Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl benzene and Total Xylenes (BTEX),
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH), and
- Organochlorine (OC) and Organophosphate (OP) pesticides.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 8 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



4. HYDROGEOLOGICAL SITE INVESTIGATION WORKS

4.1 General

Groundwater was assessed for its potential to be contaminated. The groundwater monitoring wells were installed using a truck mounted drill rig using a solid auger.

This site investigation was carried out as part of the City of Casey Planning Scheme requirements for land to be developed into commercial/industrial use. The monitoring wells identified that the piezometric watertable at the site rises to an approximate depth of between 0.47m and 1.42m below surface level at the time of the investigation. This aquifer is not intersected until a depth of approximately 2.5-4.0m below surface level. Therefore it is possible to install some building services and access roads/carparks without intersecting this aquifer. A perched watertable at surface level also exists. It should be emphasised that the presence of groundwater at this depth is likely to have an impact on the installation of building services and footings. If the perched watertable exists during the development of the land then it will most likely cause construction issues. Foundation excavation including basements is likely to require de-watering and shoring to prevent collapse of excavations and filling with water.

Once all possible uses of the groundwater monitoring well are exhausted it is recommended that the well be de-commissioned. LR Pardo & Associates can coordinate this process.

4.1.1 Water Sampling Methodology and Field Validation

Two water samples were taken from each groundwater monitoring well. All samples were taken one week following the installation of the wells. The first sample in each groundwater well was sampled without disturbing the water within that well. The second sample in each groundwater well was taken after the remaining water had been mixed considerable over the full depth of the groundwater well installation.

Whilst sampling on site all precautions were taken to avoid cross contamination and contact with human skin. The sampling protocol followed at each sampling location is detailed below:



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 9 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any



- 1. Wash clean sampling tools with deionised water and mild detergent.
- 2. Use a set of new sterilised gloves each time.
- 3. Collect water using bailer.
- 4. Fill sampling bottles/containers.
- 5. Fasten lid (air-tight) and store in Esky with iced cooler blocks.
- 6. Deliver to analytical laboratory.

Sample storage was provided by Eurofins MGT, which consisted of sampling bottles/containers, Esky's and ice blocks. All water samples were stored on ice while on site, and during transit.

4.2 Regulatory Framework

4.2.1 Regulatory Framework for Groundwater Assessment

The protection of the beneficial uses is assessed with reference to the NEPM guidelines³. These guidelines provide for the following groundwater uses;

Fresh Water,
Marine Water,

ADVERTISED
PLAN

5 : 1 : 14/ 1

Drinking Water.

In addition the beneficial uses of irrigation and stock watering were also assessed with reference to the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality⁴.

It is emphasised within the NEPM that GILs are not intended for use as default trigger or remediation target criteria, but are intended to prompt an appropriate site specific assessment when they are exceeded. However, it is considered reasonable in the first instance to use these investigation limits as default acceptance criteria, and only consider impacts more closely if they are exceeded.

It should be noted that a complete assessment of all relevant beneficial uses was outside the scope of this investigation. This investigations primary focus was on whether the presence of any identified contamination would effect the development of the site for industrial/commercial use. Both fresh water and drinking water were identified as

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 10 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013

³ NEPM, 1999 & 2013

⁴ National Water Quality Management Strategy (2000). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*, Volume 1, Paper no. 4





possible uses of the groundwater onsite. Please see Table 3 for the investigation levels of all GILs. For more information please see Appendix B.

Table 3: Groundwater-based investigation levels⁵

	Ground	Groundwater Investigation Levels						
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B					
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)					
Me	tals and Metalloids	5						
Aluminium, Al pH>6.5	55	-	-					
Antimony	-	-	0.003					
Arsenic	24 as As(III) 13 as As(V)		0.01					
Barium	-	-	2					
Beryllium	-	2	0.06					
Boron	370 ^c	-	4					
Cadmium H	0.2	0.7 ^D	0.002					
Chromium, Cr (III) H	+	27	-					
Chromium, Cr (VI)	1 ^c	4.4	0.05					
Cobalt	_	1	-					
Copper H	1.4	1.3	2					
Iron, (Total)	-	-	-					
Lead H	3.4	4.4	0.01					
Manganese	1900 ^C	-	0.5					
Mercury (Total)	0.06 ^D	0.1 ^D	0.001					
Molybdenum	· ·	-	0.05					
Nickel H	11	7	0.02					
Selenium (Total)	5 ^D	-	0.01					
Silver	0.05	1.4	0.1					
Tributyl tin (as Sn)	-	0.006 ^C	-					
Tributyl tin oxide		-	0.001					
Uranium	50 - 70	51	0.017					
Vanadium	-	100	-					
Zine H	8°C	15 ^c	-					
Non	-metallic Inorganic	es						
Ammonia ^E (as NH ₃ -N at pH 8)	900 ^C	910	-					
Bromate		₹3	0.02					
Chloride	-	-	-					
Cyanide (as un-ionised Cn)	7	4	0.08					
Fluoride	343	24	1.5					
Hydrogen sulphide (un-ionised H ₂ S measured as S)	1	-	-					
Iodide	-	2	0.5					

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

convright

Page 11 of 24 28th November 2013

This copied document to be made available (2013). National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure, 2013, Table 1a for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued

	Ground	Groundwater Investigation Levels					
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B				
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)				
Nitrate (as NO ₃)	refer to guideline	refer to guideline	50				
Nitrite (as NO ₂)	refer to guideline	refer to guideline	3				
Nitrogen	refer to guideline	refer to guideline	1				
Phosphorus	refer to guideline	refer to guideline	•				
Sulphate (as SO ₄)	7		500				
Organi	c alchohols/other org	anics					
Ethanol	1400	-	040				
Ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA)	-		0.25				
Formaldehyde	- 1	-	0.5				
Nitrilotriacetic acid	-		0.2				
	Anilines						
Aniline	8	-	-				
2,4-Dichloroaniline	7	-	-				
3,4-Dichloroaniline	3	150	343				
C	Chlorinated Alkanes						
Dichloromethane	-	1.5	0.004				
Trichloromethane (chloroform)	3 -	-	0.003				
Trihalomethanes (total)	3-1	-	0.25				
Tetrachloromethane (carbon tetrachloride)		141	0.003				
1,2-Dichloroethane	-	-	0.003				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	6500	1900	2				
Hexachloroethane	290 ^D	2					
C	hlorinated Alkenes						
Chloroethene (vinyl chloride)	()	-	0.0003				
1,1-Dichloroethene	3-3	-	0.03				
1,2-Dichoroethene	22 ()	-	0.06				
Tetrachloroethene (PCE) (Perchloroethene)	-						
C	hlorinated Benzenes						
Chlorobenzene	, ,	15 <u>7</u> 0	0.3				
1,2- Dichlorobenzene	160	8.5	1.5				
1,3- Dichlorobenzene	260	(5)	-				

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 12 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued (2)

	Ground	Groundwater Investigation Levels						
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B					
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)					
1,4- Dichlorobenzene	60	-	0.04					
1,2,3- Trichlorobenzene	3 ^D	-	0.03					
1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene	85 ^D	20 ^D	for individual or					
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene		-	total trichlorobenzenes					
Polychlo	orinated Biphenyls (I	PCBs)	-					
Aroclor 1242	0.3 ^D	-	-					
Aroclor 1254	0.01 ^D	-	-					
Other (Chlorinated Compou	ınds						
Epichlorohydrin	- 1	-	0.1					
Hexachlorobutadiene	2	2	0.0007					
Monochloramine	-	11.71	3					
Monocyc	lic Aromatic Hydroca	arbons						
Benzene	950	500 ^C	0.001					
Toluene	9-	-	0.8					
Ethylbenzene	-	2	0.3					
Xylenes	350 (as o- xylene) 200 (as p- xylene)	-	0.6					
Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	-	-	0.03					
	romatic Hydrocarbor	ns (PAHs)	•					
Naphthalene	16	50 ^C	-					
Benzo[a]pyrene	-	-	0.00001					
	Phenols							
Phenol	320	400	-					
2-Chlorophenol	340 ^c	-	0.3					
4-Chlorophenol	220	- 2	-					
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120		0.2					
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3 ^D	-	0.02					
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	10 ^D		-					
Pentachlorophenol	3.6 ^D	11 ^D	0.01					
2,4-Dinitrophenol	45	-	-					
	Phthalates							
Dimethylphthalate	3700	-	0.50					
Diethylphthalate	1000		-					
Dibutylphthalate	10 ^D	-	-					
Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	-	12	0.01					

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 13 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued (3)

	Groundwater Investigation Levels						
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B				
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)				
	Pesticides						
Acephate	-	-	0.008				
Aldicarb	-	-	0.004				
Aldrin plus Dieldrin	24	-	0.0003				
Ametryn	-	-	0.07				
Amitraz	-		0.009				
Amitrole		-	0.0009				
Asulam	-	-	0.07				
Atrazine	13	-	0.02				
Azinphos-methyl	-	-	0.03				
Benomyl	2	2	0.09				
Bentazone	1-	-	0.4				
Bioresmethrin	-	-	0.1				
Bromacil	-	-	0.4				
Bromoxynil	24	-	0.01				
Captan	_	2	0.4				
Carbaryl	, -		0.03				
Carbendazim (Thiophanate-methyl)	-	-	0.09				
Carbofuran	0.06	-	0.01				
Carboxin	-	-	0.3				
Carfentrazone-ethyl	-	-	0.1				
Chlorantraniliprole	-	2	6				
Chlordane	0.03 ^D	-	0.002				
Chlorfenvinphos	-	-	0.002				
Chlorothalonil	-	-	0.05				
Chlorpyrifos	0.01 ^D	0.009 ^D	0.01				
Chlorsulfuron	_	-	0.2				
Clopyralid	9 .	1.51	2				
Cyfluthrin, Beta-cyfluthrin	-	-	0.05				
Cypermethrin isomers	9-1		0.2				
Cyprodinil	- 1	-	0.09				
1,3-Dichloropropene	- 1	- 2	0.1				
2,2-DPA	-		0.5				
2,4-D [2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid]	280	050	0.03				
DDT	0.006 ^D	- 2	0.009				
Deltramethrin	-		0.04				



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 14 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued (4)

	Ground	vater Investigat	ion Levels	
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B	
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)	
Diazinon	0.01	-	0.004	
Dicamba	-		0.1	
Dichloroprop	-	-	0.1	
Dichlorvos	-	-	0.005	
Dicofol	-	020	0.004	
Diclofop-methyl		0.50	0.005	
Dieldrin plus Aldrin	-		0.0003	
Diflubenzuron	-	-	0.07	
Dimethoate	0.15	-	0.007	
Diquat	1.4	- 2	0.007	
Disulfoton	· -	To	0.004	
Diuron		-	0.02	
Endosulfan	0.03 ^D	0.005 ^D	0.02	
Endothal	-	-	0.1	
Endrin	0.01 ^D	0.004 ^D		
EPTC	-	2	0.3	
Esfenvalerate	-	0.50	0.03	
Ethion	-	-	0.004	
Ethoprophos		-	0.001	
Etridiazole	-	-	0.1	
Fenamiphos	74	-	0.0005	
Fenarimol	-		0.04	
Fenitrothion	0.2	-	0.007	
Fenthion	-	-	0.007	
Fenvalerate	-	-	0.06	
Fipronil	-	-	0.0007	
Flamprop-methyl	-		0.004	
Fluometuron	-	-	0.07	
Fluproponate	-		0.009	
Glyphosate	370	-	1	
Haloxyfop	- 1	- 2	0.001	
Heptachlor	0.01 ^D	12	-	
Heptachlor epoxide		1.5	0.0003	
Hexazinone	-		0.4	
Imazapyr			9	
Iprodione	52 (-	0.1	
Lindane (γ-HCH)	0.2	-	0.01	

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 15 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued (5)

	Groundy	Groundwater Investigation Levels						
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B					
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)					
Malathion	0.05	-	0.07					
Mancozeb (as ETU, ethylene thiourea)		0.50	0.009					
MCPA	-	-	0.04					
Metaldehyde		-	0.02					
Metham (as methylisothiocyanate, MITC)	-		0.001					
Methidathion	9 -	-	0.006					
Methiocarb	y -	-	0.007					
Methomyl	3.5		0.02					
Methyl bromide	22 1	-	0.001					
Metiram (as ETU, ethylene thiourea)	-	-	0.009					
Metolachlor/s-Metolachlor	24	-	0.30					
Metribuzin	-	-	0.07					
Metsulfuron-methyl	9 .	.	0.04					
Mevinphos	-	-	0.006					
Molinate	3.4	-	0.004					
Napropamide	92	-	0.4					
Nicarbazin	- 1	-	1					
Norflurazon	-	. .	0.05					
Omethoate	1.	1.5	0.001					
Oryzalin	3 7	-	0.4					
Oxamyl	-	-	0.007					
Paraquat	24	-	0.02					
Parathion	0.004 ^C	1927	0.02					
Parathion methyl		0.50	0.0007					
Pebulate	27		0.03					
Pendimethalin			0.4					
Pentachlorophenol	24 ()	-	0.01					
Permethrin	-		0.2					
Picloram	-	.5	0.30					
Piperonyl butoxide	2.	-	0.6					
Pirimicarb	9-1		0.007					
Pirimiphos methyl	-	-	0.09					
Polihexanide	-	-	0.7					
Profenofos	22	2	0.0003					

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 16 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013



Table 4: Groundwater-based investigation levels continued (6)

	Ground	Groundwater Investigation Levels						
Substance	Fresh Waters ^A	Marine Waters ^A	Drinking Water ^B					
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)					
Propachlor	-	-	0.07					
Propanil	-	-	0.7					
Propargite	-	-	0.007					
Proparzine	-	4	0.05					
Propiconazole	2	_	0.1					
Propyzamide	S. 5 /2	-	0.07					
Pyrasulfatole	-	-	0.04					
Pyrazophos	-	-	0.02					
Pyroxsulam		4.	4					
Quintozene	-	2	0.03					
Simazine	3.2	-	0.02					
Spirotetramat	-	-	0.2					
Sulprofos	-	-	0.01					
2,4,5-T	36	-	0.1					
Tebuthiuron	2.2	_	-					
Temephos	_	0.05 ^D	0.4					
Terbacil	:. //	-	0.2					
Terbufos	-	-	0.0009					
Terbuthylazine	-	-	0.01					
Terbutryn	-	2	0.4					
Thiobencarb	2.8	2	0.04					
Thiometon	-	-	0.004					
Thiram	0.01	-	0.007					
Toltrazuril	-	-	0.004					
Toxafene	0.1 D	-	-					
Triadimefon	-	-	0.09					
Trichlorfon	_	2	0.007					
Triclopyr		-:	0.02					
Trifluralin	2.6 ^D	-	0.09					
Vernolate	-		0.04					
	Surfactants							
Linear alkylbenzene sulfonates (LAS)	280	2	-					
Alcohol ethoxylated sulfate (AES)	650	-						
Alcohol ethoxylated surfactants (AE)	140	41	-					



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Page 17 of 24
Client: Murphy Trust No. 1 28th November 2013





4.3 Quality of Analytical Data

National Association of Testing Authority (NATA) accredits laboratories on a parameter by parameter basis and the laboratories must provide quantitative evidence of their ability and competence to produce reliable results against recognised benchmarks (i.e. NATA proficiency programs, other national and international proficiency programs, and performance against certified reference materials). Accredited laboratories are able to demonstrate the ability to produce reliable, repeatable results for a range of parameters within a range of sample matrices.

Laboratories performing analyses for environmental purposes will normally base their methods on a range of guidelines and 'standard methods' including:

- National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 2013;
- A guide to the Sampling and Analysis of Waters, Wastewater, Soil and Wastes -EPA Publication 441 (2000);
- Australian Standard 4482.1 (Guide to the sampling and investigation of potentially contaminated soil- Part 1: Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds) & Australian Standard 4482.2 (Guide to the sampling and investigation of potentially contaminated soil- Part 2: Volatile substances);
- American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association and WPCF "Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Waste Waters" (Latest Publication);
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Laboratory Manual, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW846 (Latest Edition);
- US EPA Contract Laboratory Program for Organic (1999) and Inorganic (2002)
 Data Review;
- US EPA Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation (2002);
- Other Publications (eg. ASTM) or accredited in house methods as may be developed and accredited for specific parameters.

Analysis of samples requires a number of important steps including sub-sampling, pretreatment including digestion/extraction, and physical/chemical/biological measurement of specific parameters against relevant standard materials.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the

Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

convright

28th November 2013

Page 18 of 24



With regard to the specific issue of specified recoveries, the EPA and APHA methods nominate acceptable broad recovery ranges for both soils and waters. However, it must be emphasized that certain parameter recoveries can vary significantly depending on sample type and matrix. The 'APHA Standard Methods' provide a discussion at the end of most methods on precision and bias. Similarly the USEPA Methods SW-846 provides quantitative data for precision and accuracy for most methods.

The adoption of the general advisory ranges for specific recoveries has been used to screen laboratory data. Where recoveries are outside these ranges the data was assessed in relation to specific laboratory comments, published industry 'norms' for specific parameters and/or the likely impact on the interpretation of the meaning of the results. If significant doubt exists regarding a laboratories performance then data can be requested on their estimates of uncertainty of measurement, control chart information and proficiency program performance. Laboratories must maintain this information as a requirement of their NATA accreditation.

The following section outlines a consideration of the QC information provided as part of this preliminary environmental investigation.

4.3.1 Laboratory

Accuracy of laboratory QC results (laboratory control samples, matrix spikes and surrogates) is measured by percentage recovery (%R) of known additions. Acceptance targets for laboratory control samples and matrix spikes is generally between 70% and 130% recovery for organics and 80-120% recovery for metals (APHA 1992), however acceptable accuracy for certain methods may exceed these limits (USEPA 1986). Acceptance targets for surrogates are between 80% and 120% recovery for organics. It should be noted that matrix dependant QC methods (matrix spikes, surrogates) can be affected by the matrix; hence these %R results have been reviewed qualitatively.

Results were determined to be within the acceptable range.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

Page 19 of 24

28th November 2013





DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 5.

Having carried out the site investigation and associated laboratory analysis, the results were reviewed with respect to contaminant levels and beneficial uses of the water. Please see Appendix B for a summary of the test results and comparison with the GIL's.

Some of the reported analytic concentrations exceeded the GIL's for use of groundwater for fresh water or drinking water use. Laboratory results were also assessed with reference to the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality⁶ with respect to the beneficial uses of irrigation and livestock drinking. Exceedance of the trigger values was noted for Chromium, copper, lead, nickel and zinc with reference to GIL's only.

The piezometric watertable at the time of the investigation was between 0.47m and 1.42m below current surface level. There was also a perched watertable at surface level. It is expected that groundwater will come into contact with services, pavements and building foundations during the development of the land. The groundwater may have an impact during construction and service life of the development, and consideration needs to be given as to how to best manage any potential impacts resulting from the groundwater.

If the perched watertable exists during the development of the land then it will most likely cause construction issues. Foundation excavation including basements is likely to require de-watering and shoring to prevent collapse of excavations and filling with water. Alternatively the site could be filled and adequate subsurface drainage installed. An appropriate solution may take the form of a gravel blanket followed by a clay capping layer.

No beneficial uses of the groundwater are anticipated, nor any adverse effects of the development on the groundwater, provided no direct discharge of contaminants into the aquifer. An EMP will be required if the groundwater is expected to be used for any beneficial uses. However, an EMP would not be required if the groundwater is not intended to be used.

This copied document to be made available (2000). Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality, Volume 1, Paper no 14th e sole purpose of enabling

its consideration and review as

part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Page 20 of 24 28th November 2013



Therefore with reference to LRP&A report no. 130479 Evans Rd/2, the land encompassed within the site is considered suitable for Industrial and Commercial use. The land encompassed within the site can be relied upon by Murphy Trust No. 1, as being 'fit for purpose' based on the current conditions as described by the current investigation.

A geotechnical investigation of the site is recommended prior to development, to further understand the engineering properties of the foundation material onsite.

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Page 21 of 24

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013



6. REFERENCES

ANZECC & NHMRC (January 1992). Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Contaminated Sites. Australian and New Zealand Environment & Conservation Council/National Health and Medical Research Council.

EPA (May 2004). Classification of Wastes, EPA Bulletin, Publication 448, EPA Victoria.

EPA (April 2000). Groundwater Sampling Guidelines, Publication 669, EPA Victoria.

National Environment Protection Council (1999). *National Environment Protection* (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure, December 1999.

National Environment Protection Council (2013). *National Environment Protection* (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure, 2013.

National Water Quality Management Strategy (2000). Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality, October 2000, Volume 1, Paper no. 4, Australian and New Zealand Environmental and Conservation Council/Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any



Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Page 22 of 24

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013



7. REPORT LIMITATIONS

- a) This technical report has been prepared in good faith based on the information provided by our Client's representative, Ms Louise Lowe, Urban Planner for KLM Spatial, and in accordance with LRP&A quality system.
- b) This report has been commissioned by and for the specific use of our Client Murphy Trust No. 1 for the 'Evans Road' project only, located at 280 Evans Road, Cranbourne West, Victoria. Therefore, no responsibility or liability to any third party is accepted for any damages, howsoever arising, from contents of this report or its use by any third party. Where such liability cannot be excluded it is reduced to the full extent lawful.
- c) The use of this report is not appropriate where there have been any changes in the nature of the project or the conditions present during any field investigation or site inspection.
- d) No responsibility or liability is accepted where any part of this report is used in isolation, out of context or without consideration of the total document.
- e) If at a later time it is found that the information previously provided to LRP&A was incorrect, incomplete and/or if at the time of construction the soil conditions differ drastically from those initially reported, LRP&A **should be contacted**immediately and this report may need to be reviewed and amended if appropriate.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

ADVERTISED PLAN

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

Page 23 of 24

28th November 2013



Should you require any further information regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on (03) 9555 6995.

Prepared by

Peter J Andrews (BE [Civil, Hons], GradIEAust)
Geotechnical Engineer
LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd



Reviewed and authorised by



Lucas R Pardo (BE [Civil], MIEAust, MAICD)

Director / Senior Principal

LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

© 2013 LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd

Other than for the exclusive use of our Client Murphy Trust No. 1, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd.

www.pardoengineering.com.au

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Page 24 of 24

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013



APPENDIX A

Monitoring Well Location and Borehole Log

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3 Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

ADVERTISED PLAN



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any converient



This drawing shall be read in conjunction with LRP&A Report No. 130479 Evans Road/3.



Consulting Civil & Geotechnical Engineers

2 Alex Avenue, Moorabbin VIC 3189
 Tel: (03) 9555 6995 Fax: (03) 9553 1394
 www.pardoengineering.com.au

NOT TO SCALE

Source: Google Earth

Title Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations **Locality** 280 Evans Road Cranbourne West, Victoria

Dwg. No 130479/3 GW

 Prepared
 PA
 28/11/2013

 Checked
 LP
 2/12/2013

© 2013 LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd

Hydrogeological Site Investigation

Investigation dates: 17/10/2013 & 24/10/2013

Project: 130479 Evans Road/3

Sheet No GW LOC File 130479-3 BH Log.xls

ADVERTISED PLAN

	LRPar & Associa			Borehole l Borehole E	Project: Location:	-	Evans Rd		Lo	ehole No: Date: ogged by: itered by:	17/10/13 PA	
Method	Depth (m	Type, Plasticity	terial Descrip ,Colour, Particle		Soil Classification	Consistency / Density	Moisture	Other	Туре	Test Results	Structure and additional observations	
	0.5 0.47 0.60	Sandy CLAY Grey to black Medium plasi Silty CLAY Low to mediu Mottled orang	ticity	d grey	CL-CI	St	M-W				Piezometric Watertable Geology: Quaternary unnamed swamp and lake deposits	
Α		Clayey SILT Some Sand White to light Possibly wea	t grey thered Rock		ML	VSt	D W-Sat	I P	for the its contact of lanning e docu	e sole posideral plant grand E ment ment mose white sole to be sol	nent to be made a purpose of enablination and review a ling process unde invironment Act I lust not be used for ch may breach an anvright	ng as r the 1987. or any
	5.5 6.00 6.00 sistency/density: VS very soft		erminated at 6	6.00m		samples/te	sts:	ar vane kPa		Penetratio	n no resistance	
	S soft F firm St stiff VSt very stiff H hard	VL v L k MD n D c VD v	very loose oose medium dense dense very dense R H	roller/tricone Hammer drillin	0	U63 DS PP CT N moisture:	undisturbed s disturbed s pocket pen samples fo standard pe	d sample 63	Pa ition test est	2 3 4 Doc. No. L	ranging to Refusal	



	LF & A BORE			rdo ates og		Borehole Borehole E	Project: Location:	•	Evans Rd		Lo	ehole No: Date: ogged by: itered by:	17/10/13 PA	
Method	Depth (metres)		Graphic Log	Type, Plasticit	aterial Descrip y ,Colour, Particle		Soil Classification	Consistency / Density	Moisture	Other	Туре	Test Results	Structure and additional observations	
	1.0	0.50		Silty Sandy Dark brown Medium plas Silty CLAY Some Sand Mottled oran Medium plas	to black sticity nge, brown and	d grey	CI	St	M				Geology: Quaternary unnamed swamp and lake deposits	
A	2.0			Becoming w	vet at 2.50m				w				Piezometric — Watertable	
	3.5									p P.	for th its co art of a lanning e docu	e sole p nsidera plann and E nent m	ent to be made a urpose of enablir tion and review a ing process under nvironment Act 1 ust not be used fo ch may breach ar	g the 987. or any
	5.0	5.50		Borehole 2 t	terminated at 5	5.50m								
	6.0													
,	S soft F firm St stiff	y soft t n f y stiff		VL L MD D	friable very loose loose medium dense dense very dense			U63 DS PP	pilcon shea undisturbed disturbed si pocket pendisamples for	d sample 63	Pa tion test	Penetratio 1 2 3 4	no resistance ranging to Refusal	
metho	od:		iger d cavat	-	R H	roller/tricone Hammer drillin	g	moisture:		W wet Sat Satu	rated	Doc. No. I	L BH-001 e: 20/11/08	



APPENDIX B

Test Results Summary

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013

ADVERTISED PLAN

Test Results Summary - Hydrogeological Investigation

PLAN		LOCATION								GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION LEVELS		
SUBSTANCE	GW1 S1	GW1 S2	GW2 S1	GW2 S2					Fresh Waters	Drinking Water	Comment	
Metals and Metalloids												
Arsenic (filtered)	0.002	0.004	< 0.001	0.009					0.024	0.01	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002					0.0002	0.002	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	< 0.001	0.019	< 0.001	0.021					0.001	0.05	*	
Copper (filtered)	0.004	0.006	0.002	0.033					0.0014	2	*	
Lead (filtered)	0.041	0.083	0.036	0.29					0.003	0.01	*	
Mercury (filtered)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0006					0.0001	0.001	Pass	
Molybdenum (filtered)	0.007	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005					ı	0.05	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)	0.008	0.009	0.006	0.089					0.011	0.02	*	
Selenium (filtered)	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003					0.005	0.01	Pass	
Silver (filtered)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005					0.00005	0.1	Pass	
Tin (filtered)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005					-	0.001	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)	0.014	0.03	0.016	0.073					0.008	-	*	
Non-metallic Inorganics												
Cyanide (total)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005					0.007	0.08	Pass	
Fluoride	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5					-	2	Pass	
Organochlorine Pesticides												
4.4'-DDT	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001					6E-06	0.009	Pass	
Aldrin	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001					-	0.0003	Pass	
Chlordane	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001					0.00003	0.002	Pass	
Dieldrin	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001					-	0.0003	Pass	
Endosulfan I	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001							Pass	
Endosulfan II	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001					0.00003	0.02	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001							Pass	

^{*} Further investigation recommended according to NEPM if groundwater is to be used for the beneficial uses of Fresh water or drinking water.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Please read in conjunction with LRP&A report no. 130479 Evans Rd/3.

© 2013 LR Pardo & Allecidtesuffrentdnust not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Hydrogeological Investigation vright

LRPardo
& Associates

Consulting Civil & Geotechnical Engineers

2 Alex Avenue, Moorabbin VIC 3189

Tel: (03) 9555 6995 Fax: (03) 9553 1394

www.pardoengineering.com.au

Title Test Results Summary
Locality 280 Evans Road

Cranbourne West, Victoria

Dwg. No 130479/3 TRS

 Prepared
 PA
 8/11/2013

 Checked
 LP
 22/11/2013

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Sheet NoTest 1File130479-3 Results Summary.xls

Test Results Summary - Hydrogeological Investigation

				GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION LEVELS				
LOCATION	GW1 S1	GW1 S2	GW2 S1	GW2 S2		Fresh Waters	Drinking Water	Comment
Organochlorine Pesticides								
Endrin	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001				Pass
Endrin aldehyde	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		0.00001	-	Pass
Endrin ketone	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001				Pass
g-BHC (Lindane)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		0.0002	0.01	Pass
Heptachlor	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		0.00001	-	Pass
Heptachlor epoxide	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		-	0.0003	Pass
Toxaphene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01		0.0001	-	Pass
Phenols (Halogenated)								
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01		0.036	0.1	Pass
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01		0.003	0.02	Pass
2.4-Dichlorophenol	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003		0.12	0.2	Pass
2-Chlorophenol	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003		0.34	0.3	Pass
Pentachlorophenol	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01		0.0036	0.01	Pass
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03		0.01	-	Pass
Phenols (non-Halogenated)								
2.4-Dinitrophenol	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03		0.045	-	Pass
Phenol	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003		0.32	-	Pass
Polychlorinated Biphenyls								
Aroclor-1242	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		0.0003	-	Pass
Aroclor-1248	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		0.00001	-	Pass
Aroclor-1254	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		0.00001	-	Pass
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons								
Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		-	0.00001	Pass
Naphthalene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		0.016	-	Pass

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

ADVERTISED PLAN

The docume lease sead in conjunction with LRP&A report no. 130479 Evans Rd/3.

© 2013 LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd

purpose which may treach a Pardo & Associates

Consulting Civil & Geotechnical Engineers

2 Alex Avenue, Moorabbin VIC 3189
Tel: (03) 9555 6995 Fax: (03) 9553 1394
www.pardoengineering.com.au

Title Test Results Summary **Locality** 280 Evans Road

Cranbourne West, Victoria

Dwg. No 130479/3 TRS

 Prepared
 PA
 8/11/2013

 Checked
 LP
 22/11/2013

Hydrogeological Investigation

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Sheet No Test 2 **File** 130479-3 Results Summary.xls

Test Results Summary - Hydrogeological Investigation

		LOCATION							GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION LEVELS Fresh Drinking		
LOCATION	GW1 S1	GW1 S2	GW2 S1	GW2 S2	GW2 S2			Fresh Waters		Comment	
Volatile Organics											
1.1.2-Trichloroethane								6.5	-		
1.1-Dichloroethene								-	0.03		
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene								0.085	0.03		
1.2-Dichlorobenzene								0.16	1.5		
1.2-Dichloroethane								-	0.003		
1.3-Dichlorobenzene								0.26	-		
1.4-Dichlorobenzene								0.06	0.04		
Benzene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001				0.95	0.001	Pass	
Carbon Tetrachloride								-	0.003		
Chlorobenzene								-	0.3		
Chloroform								-	0.003		
Ethylbenzene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001				-	0.3	Pass	
Hexachlorobutadiene								-	0.0007		
m&p-Xylenes	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002				0.2	-	Pass	
o-Xylene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001				0.35	0.6	Pass	
Styrene								-	0.03		
Toluene	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001				-	0.8	Pass	
Vinyl chloride								-	0.0003		



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

Please read in conjunction with LRP&A report no. 130479 Evans Rd/3.

© 2013 LR Pardo & Associate pase which may breach any

LRPardo & Associates

Consulting Civil & Geotechnical Engineers

2 Alex Avenue, Moorabbin VIC 3189 Tel: (03) 9555 6995 Fax: (03) 9553 1394 www.pardoengineering.com.au

Title Test Results Summary Locality 280 Evans Road

Cranbourne West, Victoria

Dwg. No 130479/3 TRS

Prepared PΑ 8/11/2013 Checked LP 22/11/2013 **Hydrogeological Investigation**

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

File Test 3 130479-3 Results Summary.xls Sheet No



APPENDIX C

Laboratory Test Results

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

L R Pardo & Associates 2 Alex Avenue Moorabbin VIC 3189 Certificate of Analysis

NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 1254

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.



Attention: Peter Andrews

Report 397518-W

Client Reference EVANS RD / 2 130479

Received Date Oct 24, 2013



Client Sample ID			GW1 S1	GW1 S2	GW2 S1	GW2 S2
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins mgt Sample No.			M13-Oc19968	M13-Oc19969	M13-Oc19970	M13-Oc19971
Date Sampled			Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				,
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e					
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX	1	1	1			
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	142	119	116	125
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM	Fractions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)N04	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Acenaphthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Acenaphthylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Chrysene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluorene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Naphthalene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001



mgt

ADVERTISED PLAN

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix			GW1 S1 Water	GW1 S2 Water	GW2 S1 Water	GW2 S2 Water
Eurofins mgt Sample No.			M13-Oc19968	M13-Oc19969	M13-Oc19970	M13-Oc19971
, -						
Date Sampled			Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	1	T				
Phenanthrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total PAH	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	51	60	70	56
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	51	60	70	52
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
4.4'-DDD	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
4.4'-DDE	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
4.4'-DDT	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
a-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Aldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
b-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
d-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Dieldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan I	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan II	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan sulphate	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin aldehyde	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin ketone	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Heptachlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Hexachlorobenzene	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Methoxychlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Toxaphene	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	80	67	69	65
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	51	50	51	54
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	<u>'</u>					
Aroclor-1016	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1221	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1232	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1242	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1248	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1254	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1260	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total PCB	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	80	67	69	65
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	51	50	51	54
Phenois (Halogenated)			"		31	54
<u> </u>	0.003	ma/l	- 0 003	~ 0 003	- 0 003	< 0.002
2-Chlorophenol	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
2.4-Dichlorophenol	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol 2.6-Dichlorophenol	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01

This copied document to be made available

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.



mgt

Client Sample ID			GW1 S1	GW1 S2	GW2 S1	GW2 S2	
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water M13-Oc19971 Oct 24, 2013	
Eurofins mgt Sample No.			M13-Oc19968	M13-Oc19969	M13-Oc19970		
Date Sampled			Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013	Oct 24, 2013		
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit					
Phenols (Halogenated)							
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	0.03	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	
Total Halogenated Phenol	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Phenols (non-Halogenated)							
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	0.03	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	
2-Nitrophenol	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
2.4-Dimethylphenol	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	
2.4-Dinitrophenol	0.03	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	0.006	mg/L	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	
4-Nitrophenol	0.03	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	
Dinoseb	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Phenol	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	
Total Non-Halogenated Phenol	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Phenol-d6 (surr.)	1	%	20	22	22	20	
Cyanide (total)	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Fluoride	0.5	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
Heavy Metals	·						
Arsenic	0.001	mg/L	0.002	0.004	< 0.001	0.009	
Cadmium	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	
Chromium	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	0.019	< 0.001	0.021	
Copper	0.001	mg/L	0.004	0.006	0.002	0.033	
Lead	0.001	mg/L	0.041	0.083	0.036	0.29	
Mercury	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0006	
Molybdenum	0.005	mg/L	0.007	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Nickel	0.001	mg/L	0.008	0.009	0.006	0.089	
Selenium	0.001	mg/L	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003	
Silver	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Tin	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Zinc	0.001	mg/L	0.014	0.030	0.016	0.073	



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.

A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results (regarding both quality and NATA accreditation).

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: TRH C6-C36 - MGT 100A			
BTEX	Melbourne	Oct 25, 2013	14 Day
- Method: USEPA 8260 - MGT 350A Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and MGT 100A			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: LM-LTM-ORG2010			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: USEPA 8270 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons			
Organochlorine Pesticides	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: USEPA 8081 Organochlorine Pesticides			
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: USEPA 8082 Polychlorinated Biphenyls			
Phenols (Halogenated)	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: USEPA 8270 Phenols			
Phenols (non-Halogenated)	Melbourne	Oct 31, 2013	7 Day
- Method: USEPA 8270 Phenols			
Cyanide (total)	Melbourne	Oct 28, 2013	14 Day
- Method: USEPA 9010 Cyanide			
Fluoride	Melbourne	Oct 28, 2013	28 Day
- Method: LM-LTM-INO-4300 (Fluoride by Ion Chromatography)			
IWRG 621 Metals : Metals M12	Melbourne	Oct 25, 2013	28 Day
- Method: USEPA 6010/6020 Heavy Metals & USEPA 7470/71 Mercury			

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any





Eurofins | mgt Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

General

- 1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. Actual PQLs are matrix dependant. Quoted PQLs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences
- 4. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries
- 5. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise
- 6. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis. 7. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the Sample Receipt Acknowledgment.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

**NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

UNITS

 mg/kg: milligrams per Kilogram
 mg/l: milligrams per litre

 ug/l: micrograms per litre
 ppm: Parts per million

 ppb: Parts per billion
 %: Percentage

 org/100ml: Organisms per 100 millilitres
 NTU: Units

MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

TERMS

Dry Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.

LOR Limit of Reporting.

SPIKE Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.

RPD Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.

LCS Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery
CRM Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery

Method Blank In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands

In the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.

Surr - Surrogate The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.

Duplicate A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.

Batch Duplicate

A second piece of analysis from a sample outside of the clients batch of samples but run within the laboratory batch of analysis.

Batch SPIKE

Spike recovery reported on a sample from outside of the clients batch of samples but run within the laboratory batch of analysis.

USEPA United States Environment Protection Authority

APHA American Public Health Association

ASLP Australian Standard Leaching Procedure (AS4439.3)
TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

COC Chain of Custody

SRA Sample Receipt Advice

CP Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report

NCP Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within

QC - ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50% $\,$

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries : Recoveries must lie between 50-150% - Phenols 20-130%

QC DATA GENERAL COMMENTS

- 1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- 3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting LCS data, Toxophene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- 4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting Spike data, Toxophene is not added to the Spike.
- 5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- 6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time.

 Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Arochlor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS's.
- 9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 10. Duplicate RPD's are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

ADVERTISED PLAN

Eurofins | mgt 2-5 Kingston Town Close, Oakleigh, Victoria, Australia, 3166 ABN: 50 005 085 521 Telephone: +61 3 8564 5000 Facsimile: +61 3 8564 5090



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

ADVERTISED

	The document must not be t		•	1	1	
	Test purpose which may bre	ach any Units	Result 1	Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank	FANVFIGHT					
Total Recoverable Hydro	carbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions					
TRH C6-C9		mg/L	< 0.02	0.02	Pass	
TRH C10-C14		mg/L	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
TRH C15-C28		mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	Pass	
TRH C29-C36		mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	Pass	
Method Blank	·					
BTEX						
Benzene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Toluene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Ethylbenzene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes		mg/L	< 0.002	0.002	Pass	
o-Xylene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Xylenes - Total		mg/L	< 0.003	0.003	Pass	
Method Blank		Ŭ				
Total Recoverable Hydro	carbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions					
Naphthalene		mg/L	< 0.02	0.02	Pass	
TRH C6-C10		mg/L	< 0.02	0.02	Pass	
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)	mg/L	< 0.02	0.02	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	,	mg/L	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34		mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40		mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	Pass	
Method Blank		Ŭ				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hyd	rocarbons					
Acenaphthene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Acenaphthylene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Anthracene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Chrysene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Fluoranthene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Fluorene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Naphthalene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Phenanthrene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Pyrene		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
Method Blank		J				
Organochlorine Pesticide	es					
Chlordanes - Total		mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
4.4'-DDD		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
4.4'-DDE		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
4.4'-DDT		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
a-BHC		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
Aldrin		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
b-BHC		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
d-BHC		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
Dieldrin		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan I		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan II		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	Pass	



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling the sole purpose of enabling as a solution of the sole purpose of enabling as a solution of the sole purpose of enabling as a solution of the sole purpose of enabling as a solution of the solutio part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

ADVERTISED PLAN

	The decument must not be used for						
	The document must not be used for purpose which may breach any	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Endrin	convright	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Endrin aldehyd	e	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Endrin ketone	Endrin ketone		< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindan	e)	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor		mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor epo	xide	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Hexachloroben	zene	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Methoxychlor		mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
Toxaphene		mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
Method Blank							
Polychlorinated	d Biphenyls				1		
Aroclor-1016		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1221		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1232		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1242		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1248		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1254		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1260		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Total PCB		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Method Blank		9, =	10.001		0.00.	. 000	
Phenois (Halog	uenated)						
2-Chlorophenol	-	mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
2.4-Dichlorophe		mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
2.4.5-Trichlorop		mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
2.4.6-Trichlorop		mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
			< 0.003			Pass	
2.6-Dichlorophe		mg/L			0.003		
4-Chloro-3-met		mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
Pentachlorophe		mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
Tetrachlorophe	nois - I otai	mg/L	< 0.03		0.03	Pass	
Method Blank							
Phenols (non-F						_	
2-Cyclohexyl-4	·	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
2-Methyl-4.6-di	•	mg/L	< 0.03		0.03	Pass	
2-Methylphenol	(o-Cresol)	mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
2-Nitrophenol		mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
2.4-Dimethylph		mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
2.4-Dinitrophen		mg/L	< 0.03		0.03	Pass	
	nol (m&p-Cresol)	mg/L	< 0.006		0.006	Pass	
4-Nitrophenol		mg/L	< 0.03		0.03	Pass	
Dinoseb		mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Phenol		mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
Method Blank							
Cyanide (total)		mg/L	< 0.005		0.005	Pass	
Fluoride			< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Method Blank							
Heavy Metals							
Arsenic		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Cadmium		mg/L	< 0.0002		0.0002	Pass	
Chromium		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Copper		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Lead		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Mercury		mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass	
,		mg/L	< 0.005		0.005	Pass	
Molybdenum		mg/L	1	+			
Nickel		mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	1



mgt

Test	Units	Result 1	Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Silver	mg/L	< 0.005	0.005	Pass	
Tin	mg/L	< 0.005	0.005	Pass	
Zinc	mg/L	< 0.001	0.001	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	s				
TRH C6-C9	%	123	70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	100	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery					
BTEX					
Benzene	%	125	70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	119	70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	114	70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	117	70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	118	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	s				
TRH C6-C10	%	123	70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	%	104	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery					
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons					
Acenaphthene	%	90	70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	%	92	70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	%	92	70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	%	93	70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	%	91	70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	%	101	70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	%	89	70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	%	82	70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	%	88	70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	%	91	70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	%	87	70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	%	90	70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	%	88	70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	%	88	70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	%	85	70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	90	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery					
Organochlorine Pesticides					
4.4'-DDD	%	80	70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	%	72	70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	%	82	70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	%	79	70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	%	72	70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	%	85	70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	%	76	70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	%	80	70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	%	76	70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	%	74	70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	%	81	70-130	Pass	
Endrin	%	114	70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	%	80	70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone	%	80	70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Li <mark>ndane)</mark>	%	79	70-130	Pass	
Hentachler	0/.	92	70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor Phis copied document to be made av	/0	J2	10-130	1 000	

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the

Planning and Environment Act 1987

Planning and Environment Act 1987

Foundation of the proof of

Page 8 of 14 Report Number: 397518-W



mgt

		ingt				1	Τ	
	Test			Units	s Result 1	Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Hexachlor	robenzene			%	76	70-130	Pass	
Methoxyc	hlor			%	104	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % R	ecovery						1	
Polychlori	inated Biphenyls							
Aroclor-12	260			%	102	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % R	ecovery							
Phenols (I	Halogenated)							
2-Chlorop	henol			%	61	30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dichlo	prophenol			%	62	30-130	Pass	
2.4.5-Tricl	hlorophenol			%	60	30-130	Pass	
2.4.6-Tricl	hlorophenol			%	60	30-130	Pass	
2.6-Dichlo	rophenol			%	61	30-130	Pass	
4-Chloro-3	3-methylphenol			%	62	30-130	Pass	
Pentachlo	rophenol			%	48	30-130	Pass	
Tetrachlor	rophenols - Total			%	52	30-130	Pass	
LCS - % R	ecovery							
Phenols (ı	non-Halogenated)							
2-Cyclohe	exyl-4.6-dinitrophenol			%	35	30-130	Pass	
2-Methyl-4	4.6-dinitrophenol			%	45	30-130	Pass	
2-Methylp	henol (o-Cresol)			%	62	30-130	Pass	
2-Nitrophe	enol			%	65	30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dimet	hylphenol			%	63	30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dinitro	ophenol			%	32	30-130	Pass	
3&4-Meth	ylphenol (m&p-Cresol)			%	61	30-130	Pass	
4-Nitrophe	enol			%	55	30-130	Pass	
Dinoseb				%	46	30-130	Pass	
Phenol				%	63	30-130	Pass	
LCS - % R	ecovery							
Cyanide (total)			%	95	70-130	Pass	
Fluoride				%	98	70-130	Pass	
LCS - % R	ecovery							
Heavy Me	tals							
Arsenic				%	102	80-120	Pass	
Cadmium				%	101	80-120	Pass	
Chromium	This copied docume	nt to be made	availah	%	100	80-120	Pass	
Copper	for the sole pu			%	101	80-120	Pass	
Lead			_	%	101	80-120	Pass	
Mercury		ion and review		%	98	75-125	Pass	
Molybden	part of a plannin			%	102	80-120	Pass	
Nickel	Planning and En			%	102	80-120	Pass	
Selenium	The document mu			%	99	80-120	Pass	
Silver		h may breach a	any	%	98	80-120	Pass	
Tin	ron	<u>vrioht</u>		%	102	80-120	Pass	
Zinc				%	102	80-120	Pass	
	Test	Lab Sample ID	QA	Units	s Result 1	Acceptance	Pass	Qualifying
0			Source		1 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	Limits	Limits	Code
Spike - %	•	4000 NEDIT	•		15		I	
	overable Hydrocarbons -			0/	Result 1	70.100	<u> </u>	
TRH C6-C		M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	112	70-130	Pass	
Spike - %	kecovery				D		T	
BTEX	Т	M40 0 00000	NOT	٠.	Result 1	70.400	-	
Benzene		M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	123	70-130	Pass	
Toluene		M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	124	70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenz		M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	124	70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xyle	nes	M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	120	70-130	Pass	



mgt

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
o-Xylene	M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	121		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	121		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons	- 2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1				
TRH C6-C10	M13-Oc22414	NCP	%	112		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
				Result 1				
Cyanide (total)	M13-Oc19976	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Fluoride	M13-Oc20861	NCP	%	97		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Heavy Metals				Result 1				
Arsenic	M13-Oc19968	СР	%	92		75-125	Pass	
Cadmium	M13-Oc19968	СР	%	87		75-125	Pass	
Chromium	M13-Oc19968	СР	%	94		75-125	Pass	
Copper	M13-Oc19968	СР	%	85		75-125	Pass	
Lead	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	88		75-125	Pass	
Mercury	M13-Oc18888	NCP	%	90		70-130	Pass	
Molybdenum	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	98		75-125	Pass	
Nickel	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	85		75-125	Pass	
Selenium	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	89		75-125	Pass	
Silver	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	85		75-125	Pass	
Tin	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	94		75-125	Pass	
Zinc	M13-Oc19968	CP	%	85		75-125	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery		-						
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbo	ns			Result 1				
Acenaphthene	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	96		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	107		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	110		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	122		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	113		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	90		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	97		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery	1		,,,	, ,,		70 100	1 433	
Phenols (Halogenated)				Result 1				
2-Chlorophenol	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	87		30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dichlorophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	96		30-130	Pass	
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	% %	103		30-130	Pass	
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	% %	103		30-130	Pass	
•		CP						
2.6-Dichlorophenol	M13-Oc19969		%	98		30-130	Pass	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	96		30-130	Pass	
Pentachlorophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	102		30-130	Pass	
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	97		30-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				B				
Phenols (non-Halogenated)	1.445.5			Result 1			_	
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	110	This or	30-130	Pass	ne made av
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	128	11113 (opied <u>sdosu</u> mo or the sole p	Pass	Contact av

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the

Date Reported: Nov 08, 2013



mgt

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	78			30-130	Pass	
2-Nitrophenol	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	109			30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dimethylphenol	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	87			30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19969	CP	%	120			30-130	Pass	
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	69			30-130	Pass	
4-Nitrophenol	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	33			30-130	Pass	
Dinoseb	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	108			30-130	Pass	
Phenol	M13-Oc19969	СР	%	65			30-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				•					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1					
TRH C10-C14	M13-Oc19970	СР	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1					
TRH >C10-C16	M13-Oc19970	СР	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Organochlorine Pesticides				Result 1					
4.4'-DDD	M13-Oc19970	СР	%	113			70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	126			70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	98			70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	110			70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	118			70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	112			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	105			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	115			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	125			70-130	Pass	
Endrin	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	128			70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	122			70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	103			70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	116			70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	116			70-130	Pass	
Methoxychlor	M13-Oc19970	CP	%	120			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate					1				
BTEX	<u> </u>			Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
o-Xylene	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Naphthalene	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	This area	oied 8 to 6um		

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the



	111186								
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons	- 2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)	M13-Oc22413	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate	_			•	<u> </u>				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon	ns			Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Acenaphthene	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Anthracene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Chrysene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluoranthene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluoranmene	M13-Oc19968	CP		< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
		CP	mg/L	1		<1			
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	M13-Oc19968 M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001 < 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30% 30%	Pass Pass	
Naphthalene			mg/L	1					
Phenanthrene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrene	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate				D 11.4	D # 0	DDD	1	T	
Organochlorine Pesticides	1 0			Result 1	Result 2	RPD		+_ +	
Chlordanes - Total	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
a-BHC	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aldrin	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
b-BHC	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
d-BHC	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Dieldrin	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan I	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan II	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin ketone	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Heptachlor	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Methoxychlor	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Toxaphene	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Aroclor-1016	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	M13-Oc19968	CP	_	< 0.001	< 0.001				
A10001-1234		l Cr	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	

ailable for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the

convright



mgt

Duplicate								
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Total PCB	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Duplicate								
Phenols (Halogenated)				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
2-Chlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
2.4-Dichlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
2.6-Dichlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
Pentachlorophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	<1	30%	Pass
Duplicate								
Phenols (non-Halogenated)				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	<1	30%	Pass
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
2-Nitrophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
2.4-Dimethylphenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
2.4-Dinitrophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	<1	30%	Pass
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.006	< 0.006	<1	30%	Pass
4-Nitrophenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.03	< 0.03	<1	30%	Pass
Dinoseb	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass
Phenol	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass
Duplicate								
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Cyanide (total)	M13-Oc19976	NCP	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	<1	30%	Pass
Fluoride	M13-Oc20861	NCP	mg/L	0.50	0.50	<1	30%	Pass
Duplicate								
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Arsenic	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.002	0.002	3.4	30%	Pass
Cadmium	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	<1	30%	Pass
Chromium	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Copper	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.004	0.004	3.3	30%	Pass
Lead	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.041	0.040	2.7	30%	Pass
Mercury	M13-Oc18888	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass
Molybdenum	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.007	0.007	<1	30%	Pass
Nickel	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.008	0.008	3.1	30%	Pass
Selenium	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	0.003	0.003	12	30%	Pass
Silver	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	<1	30%	Pass
Tin	M13-Oc19968	CP	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	<1	30%	Pass
Zinc	M13-Oc19968	СР	mg/L	0.014	0.014	<1	30%	Pass



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any



Comments

Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used) N/A Attempt to Chill was evident Yes Sample correctly preserved Yes Organic samples had Teflon liners Yes Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace Yes Samples received within HoldingTime Yes Some samples have been subcontracted Nο

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
N07	Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs

Q15 The RPD reported passes Eurofins | mgt's Acceptance Criteria as stipulated in SOP 05. Refer to Glossary Page of this report for further details

Authorised By

Andrew Thexton Client Services

Carroll Lee Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC) Emily Rosenberg Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC) Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC) Huona Le Stacey Jenkins Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)





Glenn Jackson

Laboratory Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested
- * Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Uncertainty data is available on request

Eurofins | mgt shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins | mgt be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright



APPENDIX D

Site Inspection Photographs

ADVERTISED PLAN

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Client: Murphy Trust No. 1

28th November 2013

280 EVANS ROAD, CRANBOURNE WEST - Hydrogeological Investigation



Photo 1: Augering GW1.



Photo 2: Casing GW1 and packing with sand.

ADVERTISED PLAN



Photo 3: Typical Clay material from GW2.



Photo 4: Casing GW2.



Photo 5: Typical dry material from GW1.



Consulting Civil & Geotechnical Engineers

2 Alex Avenue, Moorabbin VIC 3189

Tel: (03) 9555 6995 Fax: (03) 9553 1394

www.pardoengineering.com.au

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Title Photographs

Locality 280 Evans Road

Cranbourne West, Victoria

The document must not be used for any Pwp. No 130479/3 Photos

purpose which may breach any Prepared by

PA 28/11/2013 LP 2/12/2013 © 2013 LR Pardo & Associates Pty Ltd

Hydrogeological Investigation

Investigation date: 17/10/2013

Project: 130479 Evans Rd/3

Sheet No Photo 1 **File** 130479 - 3 Photos.xls